

North American perspectives

Changing physician behavior: half-empty or half-full?

Anika Hardie Alvanzo
Gail M. Cohen and
Mary Nettleman

The authors

Anika Hardie Alvanzo is in the Department of Internal Medicine, Gail M. Cohen is in the Department of Pediatrics and Mary Nettleman is Professor of Medicine, Department of Internal Medicine, all at Virginia Commonwealth University School of Medicine, Richmond, Virginia, USA.

Keywords

Doctors, Continuing professional development, Motivation

Abstract

Physicians can significantly impact both the quality and the cost of health care. Thus, it is not surprising that there is great interest in modifying physician behavior. There have been three main methods used to alter physician behavior: education, motivation, and facilitation. This article reviews the success of these methods.

Electronic access

The Emerald Research Register for this journal is available at
<http://www.emeraldinsight.com/researchregister>

The current issue and full text archive of this journal is available at
<http://www.emeraldinsight.com/1477-7274.htm>

Introduction

Physicians have the power to administer or withhold a wide variety of medical modalities. As a result, there are many parties interested in modifying physician behavior. Regulators and payers want physicians to behave in a cost-effective fashion. Specialty societies and task forces may desire physicians to adhere to recommended guidelines. Advocacy groups encourage physicians to concentrate on selected diseases or conditions. The physician is often besieged with information, regulations, and suggestions; yet it is not clear that any of these interested parties can claim success in changing physician behavior.

We argue that behavior change in physicians is akin to behavior change in patients. The key elements affecting change are education, motivation, and facilitation (Figure 1). The goal of this article is to review evidence regarding the effectiveness of methods of changing physician behavior.

Educating physicians to change behavior

Passive education

Traditional continuing medical education (CME), which generally includes lectures and other passive means of education, has been disappointing in its ability to change physician behavior (Davis *et al.*, 1999). Therefore in recent years there has been great interest in elucidating more effective methods of using education to change behavior.

The simplest method of education is dissemination of printed materials containing useful information. An example of this would be mail delivery of printed practice guidelines by professional organizations to their members. Several recent studies have examined the effectiveness of distributing printed materials alone (Hunnskaar *et al.*, 1996; Gifford *et al.*, 1996; Bowman *et al.*, 1992; Evans *et al.*, 1986). One randomized controlled study of a mailed CME course developed by a professional society was able to show an improvement in nine of 16 practice recommendations by those physicians who received the materials (Gifford *et al.*, 1996). Two other studies (Hunnskaar *et al.*, 1996; Evans *et al.*, 1986) of mailed printed materials were not able to show any improvements in the intervention group compared with the control group,



Figure 1 Key elements in changing physician behavior

prompting one group of authors to conclude that “resources spent on instructional materials mailed to physicians may be wasted” (Evans *et al.*, 1986). A fourth study was not randomized, but had the same materials mailed to all physicians in the study. The authors found that those physicians who actually read the materials performed significantly better in an interaction with a standardized patient than those who did not (Bowman *et al.*, 1992). Possibly, those who read the material were already contemplating change and were thus at a higher stage of readiness than those who did not read the material. Alternatively, the material itself may have had an effect on the reader. Regardless, this study underscores a key difficulty with passive education – it cannot be assumed that the material was actually internalized by the recipient. In other words, one cannot assume that mailed material is read or that an audience is paying attention.

Academic detailing

From these studies, it appears clear that passive dissemination of printed educational materials may be insufficient to provoke a change in physician behavior. A number of recent studies have looked at the effectiveness of the combination of dissemination of printed materials and other educational strategies. One approach taken in a group of studies was the combination of distribution of printed materials with individual meetings or visits by study personnel (otherwise known as “academic detailing”) (Watson, M. *et al.*, 2001; Watson, E. *et al.*, 2001; De Santis *et al.*, 1994; Soumerai and Avorn, 1987). These studies generally compared control groups, which received no intervention, with experimental groups that received either printed materials alone or printed materials in conjunction with one-on-one academic detailing visits. With the exception of one study that was unable to produce a significant

change in behavior in either of the experimental groups (Watson, M. *et al.*, 2001), all of these studies found improvements in the specified outcomes. In some studies, both intervention groups improved; in these cases the group that received individual visits had greater improvements than the groups that received printed materials alone (Watson, E. *et al.*, 2001; De Santis *et al.*, 1994). One study found that the intervention group improved and that the effect nearly doubled when a second educational visit was added, illustrating the importance of follow-up reinforcement in promoting change (Soumerai and Avorn, 1987).

Group activities

Another approach to physician education is through group educational activities or training sessions targeted at specific knowledge, skills or behaviors. As traditional didactic CME activities have been found to be ineffective in changing physicians’ behavior (Heale *et al.*, 1988; Boissel *et al.*, 1995; Browner *et al.*, 1994), more interactive methods have been attempted. Three studies coupled mailed printed materials with a group educational activity (i.e. seminar or tutorial). (Gifford *et al.*, 1999; Anderson *et al.*, 1996; Maiman *et al.*, 1988). Overall, these studies found that this strategy produced an improvement in physician behavior compared either with the control groups or with the groups that received the printed materials alone.

Many training programs have incorporated a combination of some or all of the following elements: small group interactive discussions, role-play, video programs, case reviews, access to experts, as well as some didactic elements. Although some of these multi-faceted educational activities did not show an improvement in physician behavior (King *et al.*, 2002; Lin *et al.*, 2001; Thompson *et al.*, 2000), most programs were able to have a positive impact on the desired outcomes (Goldberg *et al.*, 2001; Stein *et al.*, 2001; Sancu *et al.*, 2000; Clark *et al.*, 1998; Ockene *et al.*, 1995; Kendrick *et al.*, 1995; Dietrich *et al.*, 1990). The successful training programs varied tremendously in length, from as brief as a single 30-minute session (Goldberg *et al.*, 2001; Stein *et al.*, 2001) to as intensive as a two-and-a-half day course (Levinson and Roter, 1993). This extensive

course was compared with a shorter four-and-a-half hour workshop. No improvement in outcome was found with the shorter activity; only the multi-day course was shown to produce a positive effect.

A single recipe for a successful educational intervention does not emerge from the current literature. Although it can safely be said that merely distributing printed educational materials does not appear sufficient to effect a change in physician behavior, few other generalizations can be made. Interventions that include individual or interactive sessions tend to be more successful, but are not universally so. Among successful group educational activities, the wide range of length of sessions, frequency of meetings, methods of training, outcome measures, and duration of follow-up provide little guidance as to the "optimal" type of educational intervention.

Motivating physicians to change behavior

As discussed above, education alone may fail to change physician behavior. The psychological literature would suggest that the next step should be to assess and improve motivation (Ryan and Deci, 2000; Prochaska and DiClemente, 1982).

Physicians are inherently motivated by their desire to provide high quality patient care. Base-line motivation can therefore be affected by the perceived link between the desired action and patient outcome (Smith, 2000; Retchin, 1997). Physicians can also be motivated by their desire to be perceived as good doctors by their patients and colleagues.

For a motivational intervention to work, the behavior should be under the control of the physician. If change is not within the power of the physician, there will simply be an increase in frustration. This is seen when physicians are berated for systems issues beyond their control. It is also seen when a clear strategy to improve outcome has not been identified.

Motivation has been well studied as a tool to change patient behavior (Prochaska and DiClemente, 1982). However, fewer studies have addressed physician motivation. Feedback and reward/punishment systems are the most widely studied means of changing physician motivation.

Feedback

Feedback, also known as profiling, has been moderately successful in improving physician motivation. The goal is to show a physician how his or her behavior compares with that of colleagues or national norms. In general, people will try to fit their behavior to their perceived social norm (Trafimow *et al.*, 2002). One would expect this technique to be most successful when physicians with inferior outcomes were not previously aware of their outlier status (Fidler *et al.*, 1999).

Feedback to physicians has been used in several areas. For example, wound infection rates have been reduced by providing feedback of individual rates to surgeons (Haley *et al.*, 1985). Feedback to physicians has been associated with reduced rates of nosocomial infections when the pathogens are spread on the hands of personnel (Curran *et al.*, 2002; Nettleman *et al.*, 1991). Feedback on the cost of antibiotic prescriptions has resulted in the use of less expensive agents in some settings (Hux *et al.*, 1999). A randomized trial with feedback to 97 physicians showed that diabetes care was improved with feedback (Kiefe *et al.*, 2001). A review of feedback and immunization rates revealed that 12 of 15 studies showed a positive effect (Bordley *et al.*, 2000).

Positive results may not necessarily mean a dramatic improvement in patient outcome. In a meta-analysis of randomized trials of profiling and feedback, Balas *et al.* (1996) found that the combined odds ratio was only 1.09 for adopting the desired behavior. Although this result was statistically significant, the magnitude of the change was clearly modest. Another meta-analysis of randomized trials also concluded that the effect of feedback was positive, but modest (Thomson O'Brien *et al.*, 2000).

There are pitfalls to studying the effect of motivational interventions. One study attributed improvements in pediatric vaccination to improved documentation rather than an increased number of vaccinations (Fairbrother *et al.*, 1999). Another study found significant improvements in pediatric vaccinations after feedback and incentives, but saw similar improvements in the control group (Hillman *et al.*, 1999). Fortunately, these authors took the trouble to include a control group. In many other instances, studies are small, uncontrolled, not randomized, and limited to

one small group or practice (Bero *et al.*, 1998, p. 26). Data on long-term outcomes are lacking.

Feedback need not be a costly intervention. In this computerized era, much of the necessary information can be obtained electronically. Chart review is a more costly alternative. Both of these methods rely heavily on the quality of documentation.

Feedback is not always successful (Vingerhoets *et al.*, 2001; Balas *et al.*, 1996; Mugford *et al.*, 1991; Hillman *et al.*, 1999; Freeborn *et al.*, 1997). Considering the difficulty in getting negative studies published, there are a surprising number of studies in the literature showing no effect of feedback. In one study of general practitioners, feedback of patient satisfaction data about continuity and medical care had no effect (Vingerhoets *et al.*, 2001). Another study found no effect of feedback on reducing lumbar spine films (Freeborn *et al.*, 1997). It is not always clear why these interventions were not effective. However, it appears that passively providing feedback data is not as successful as actively engaging physicians in the process (Hillman *et al.*, 1999).

There is no scientific evidence demonstrating the best way to deliver feedback. Before any feedback campaign is adopted, the level of physician buy-in must be addressed (Mugford *et al.*, 1991). For example, physicians may think that it is very important to decrease surgical wound infection rates, but that meeting federal documentation guidelines is meaningless. In the latter case, education may be used first to explain the importance of meeting guidelines and the consequences of failing to do so. Clearly, some issues actually are trivial and should not be forced on busy physicians.

The timing of feedback is also important. One study significantly improved drug dosing by providing instant computer feedback on renal function (Falconnier *et al.*, 2001). In this case, feedback was provided in a timely enough fashion to change orders for doses that were not appropriately adjusted. In contrast, data that are provided weeks or months after the behavior are less likely to influence outcomes.

Feedback to physicians will usually result in challenges to the validity of the data. While it is not possible to adjust perfectly for severity of illness, and not cost-effective to review enormous numbers of charts, it is critical that

the data be of sufficient quality to sustain conclusions about outliers.

Reward/punishment

Human behavior can be influenced by rewards or punishments (Elliot and Thrash, 2002; Rilling *et al.*, 2002). Not surprisingly, physicians often react negatively to systems that sanction or punish them for their actions. Even reward systems may be controversial, especially if they create a potential conflict of interest between the need to provide the best possible care and the need for the physician to be compensated (Hillman, 1987; Kao *et al.*, 1998).

Financial incentives gained popularity with the rise of health maintenance organizations (Landon *et al.*, 1998). Bonuses may be paid for appropriate behavior or withheld for behavior that does not meet criteria. The amount of the incentive may be linked to the degree of compliance (Hillman *et al.*, 1999). In addition, the effect of incentives may be diluted if the incentive is paid to a group or institution rather than directly to the physician (Hillman *et al.*, 1992; Conrad *et al.*, 2002).

Unfortunately, most financial rewards are provided for reducing cost rather than improving care. Capitation and withholding compensation are routinely used to reduce the utilization of resources by physicians. A systematic review of the literature showed that these interventions were indeed effective in reducing resource use (Chaix-Couturier *et al.*, 2000). However, there are several caveats (Hu and Reuben, 2002). The effect of one capitated insurance plan may be diluted by the number of patients who are not capitated in a physician's practice (Balakrishnan *et al.*, 2002; Glied and Zivin, 2002). Although these compensation systems have been designed to limit cost, they may have unexpected effects on patient outcome. Untoward effects are not consistent and study results have been mixed (Riley *et al.*, 1999; Ware *et al.*, 1996).

Incentive payments to physicians are not always considered appropriate by either physicians or patients (Rolnick *et al.*, 2002).

Punitive actions include loss of reimbursement, loss of privileges to see or admit patients, and the requirement for remedial action (Kane and Garrard, 1994). When the sanctions are sufficiently grave, there is no doubt that physician behavior changes. When faced with financial sanctions

if federal prescribing standards were not met, physicians prescribed fewer antipsychotics in nursing homes (Shorr *et al.*, 1994). Even cumbersome regulations that are poorly linked to patient outcome can be enforced through sanctions. The documentation guidelines for Medicare are backed up by multi-million dollar judgments against physician groups and hospitals, despite an absence of any studies showing an effect on patient care (SoRelle, 1998).

Facilitating behavior change

The final element in the process of changing physician behavior is facilitation. For the purposes of this article, facilitation refers to the process of making it easier for the physician to implement change. Of the three elements, this is the least studied.

Computerized information systems have many uses, and can assist physicians in a number of ways. These information systems can be programmed to provide reminders and offer guidelines or recommendations for clinical decisions, and are the primary methods of facilitation reported in the literature.

Reminders

Since 1976, there have been a number of randomized controlled studies on the effectiveness of computerized reminders in various settings. Many of these studies have evaluated the impact of reminders in out-patient settings. Two trials found that computer-generated reminders, when placed in patients' charts, were effective in increasing the rate of completed mammography (Burack *et al.*, 1996; Burack and Gimotty, 1997). Another study found that the combination of computer-generated reminders displayed on the screen and printed on a patient encounter form increased the proportion of patients in compliance with several previously identified standards of care in 12 Veterans' Affairs medical centers (Demakis *et al.*, 2000). Another study found that computer-generated reminders printed on patient encounter forms increased both the rates of discussions about advanced directives and completion of advanced directive forms (Dexter *et al.*, 1998).

Randomized controlled studies of computer-generated reminders in an

in-patient setting have had mixed results. One study found no improvement in implementation of multiple preventive procedures for hospitalized patients (Overhage *et al.*, 1996). The second study, which was conducted at the same institution by several of the same authors, found that computerized reminders increased ordering rates of pneumococcal and influenza vaccines, prophylactic heparin, and prophylactic aspirin on discharge (Dexter *et al.*, 2001). The authors attribute their success to small changes in the presentation of the reminders such as a distinctive color scheme and repeated display of the reminders throughout the patients' hospitalizations.

Reminders do not have to be computer-generated to be effective. A 1994 study showed that the combination of personalized written and oral reminders were effective in increasing compliance with a practice guideline regarding length of stay for patients admitted to a coronary care unit who were believed to be at low risk for complications (Weingarten *et al.*, 1994). This same study found that increased compliance resulted in overall decreased length of stay and cost per patient.

Computerized decision support systems

In addition to a computer-based patient record including information such as laboratory values, computer-based decision support systems (CDSSs) have the ability to incorporate patient-specific information with evidence-based computerized guidelines or protocols in an effort to facilitate improved clinical decision making. The use of these tools is felt to be a response to both the information revolution and poor compliance of physicians with practice guidelines (Morris, 2000).

CDSSs have been found to be effective in various aspects of medical care. One study found that a computer-assisted decision support program designed to improve antibiotic management resulted in decreased antibiotic cost per patient, an increase in percentage of surgical patients who received appropriately timed antibiotic prophylaxis, and a 30 per cent decrease in antibiotic-associated adverse events (Pestonik *et al.*, 1996). Another study found that blood test ordering behavior could be changed with use of a decision support system based on established guidelines (van Wijk *et al.*, 2001).

As with educational and motivational interventions, there are several published studies that have shown no benefit from the use of computerized decision support systems or reminders. One such study found that reminders placed in patients' charts had no effect on rate of Pap smear completion (Burack *et al.*, 1998). A systematic review reported on several studies in which decision support systems failed to effect change in drug dosing, diagnosis, and preventive care (Hunt *et al.*, 1998).

There are several benefits to reminders and computerized clinical decision support systems. The data provided are delivered in a timely fashion, often at the moment when a clinical decision is being considered. CDSS protocols can provide patient-specific instructions that can be carried out with little variability among clinicians (Morris, 2000). Additionally, studies have found that use of reminders and/or computer-generated protocols has resulted in decreased costs associated with the implementation of specific guidelines (Pestonik *et al.*, 1996; Weingarten *et al.*, 1994). Finally, several reviews have found that reminders appear to be consistently more effective when compared with other interventions (Kupets and Covens, 2001; Smith, 2000; Shea *et al.*, 1996; Austin *et al.*, 1994; Johnston *et al.*, 1994; Haynes and Walker, 1987).

Disadvantages of reminders and CDSSs have also been identified. These facilitation techniques often require physicians to modify their personal style of patient management. Many experienced physicians are hesitant about adopting new practices and are resistant to introduction of standardized methods (Morris, 2000). It is common to have more than one reasonable approach to the same clinical scenario, but the CDSS can only incorporate one. If this approach is different from the one with which a physician is most comfortable, he is unlikely to follow the CDSS protocol. Additionally, the expense of implementing these protocols and reminders may be prohibitive. Finally, few studies have looked at how long the behavior change remains in effect. The aforementioned Veterans' Affairs medical centers study found that, despite the fact that the reminders remained active, their benefit declined over the course of the study.

In summary, both reminders and computerized decision support systems have

been shown to be effective in facilitating behavior change in physicians. However, their effectiveness is dependent upon the setting in which they are used.

While facilitation methods are effective in invoking change by making it easier for physicians to recall and act on guidelines, it would seem that the converse would also be true. Constructing barriers in an effort to prevent undesirable behavior should also be effective. Two studies demonstrated that requiring infectious disease consultation before ordering restricted antibiotics resulted in a significant decrease in expenditures for antimicrobial agents (Bassetti *et al.*, 2001; Saez-lloren *et al.*, 2000). The latter of these two studies also reported increased susceptibility rates for nosocomial isolates; however, no difference was noted in length of stay or mortality rates (Saez-lloren *et al.*, 2000). Unfortunately, research in this area is limited.

Discussion

As medical science advances and other pressures are imposed, it is constantly necessary for physicians to alter their behavior. Physicians are generally a well-educated and highly motivated group. Yet, compliance with guidelines and recommendations is often poor. Scientific studies have not identified an optimal method for effecting behavior change in physicians. Rather, it appears that multifaceted interventions, combining several approaches, tend to be the most successful (Gross *et al.*, 2001; Smith, 2000; Greco and Eisenberg, 1993). Successful programs could be expected to incorporate all three elements: education, motivation, and facilitation.

Behavior change is a process. It is logical that education would be the first step in that process. Physicians cannot act if they are unaware of guidelines or recommendations. Passive education is suboptimal, as studies have shown minimal impact on effecting the desired change. Activities that require focused attention and direct involvement, such as interactive workshops, are likely to have a better response. Once educated, physicians must be willing to modify their style of clinical practice. Feedback, rewards, and punitive actions, when administered in a timely fashion, can provide additional motivation to

physicians to improve clinical management. These interventions appear to be received best when there is a high level of physician buy-in and no perceived conflict of interest. Lastly, reminders and clinical decision support systems, which can also provide relevant and patient-specific information in a well-timed fashion, have the potential to facilitate improved clinical decisions. These techniques appear to be effective in a variety of clinical situations and settings.

As previously stated, effecting change amongst physicians can be difficult because it often involves altering long-established practices. To some physicians, any attempt at changing behavior is threatening. Whenever possible, the design of interventions to effect change should involve physicians. According to one article, buy-in of physicians is the starting-point and can be assisted via enlistment of local opinion leaders, involvement of all stakeholders, dissemination of intended plans, and consensus conferences (Gross *et al.*, 2001).

Much remains to be learned in this area. Studies are lacking on how long a desired change is maintained. Very few studies address the phenomenon of recidivism, yet models of human behavior would show us that recidivism is natural and should be expected. More randomized controlled trials and head-to-head comparisons of interventions are needed. Future studies should be expected to possess the same rigor and robust methodology as are demanded in other areas of clinical research. Finally, future research should include cost-benefit analyses in an effort to ensure that the benefits of interventions effective in changing physician behavior are not outweighed by the cost of implementation.

References

- Anderson, J.F., McEwan, K.L. and Hurdey, W.P. (1996), "Effectiveness of notification and group education in modifying prescribing of regulated analgesics", *Canadian Medical Association Journal*, Vol. 154, pp. 31-9.
- Austin, S.M., Balas, E.A., Mitchell, J.A. and Ewigman, B.G. (1994), "Effect of physician reminders on preventive care: a meta-analysis of randomized clinical trials", *Proc. Annu. Symp. Comput. Appl. Med. Care*, pp. 121-4.
- Balas, E.A., Boren, S.A., Brown, G.D., Ewigman, B.G., Mitchell, J.A. and Perkoff, G.T. (1996), "Effect of physician profiling on utilization. Meta-analysis of randomized clinical trials", *J. Gen. Intern. Med.*, Vol. 11, pp. 584-90.
- Balakrishnan, R., Hall, M.A., Mehrabi, D., Chen, G.J., Feldman, S.R. and Fleischer, A.B. Jr (2002), "Capitation payment, length of visit, and preventive services: evidence from a national sample of out-patient physicians", *Am. J. Manag. Care*, Vol. 8, pp. 332-40.
- Bassetti, M., Di Bagio, A., Rebesco, B., Amalfitano, M.E., Topal, J. and Bassetti, D. (2001), "The effect of formulary restriction in the use of antibiotics in an Italian hospital", *Eur. J. Clin. Pharmacol.*, Vol. 57, pp. 529-34.
- Bero, L., Grilli, R., Grimshaw, J., Harvey, E., Oxman, A. and Thomson, M. (1998), "Closing the gap between research and practice: an overview of systematic reviews of interventions to promote the implementation of research findings (*Getting Research Findings into Practice*, part 7)", *BMJ*, Vol. 317, pp. 465-8.
- Boissel, J.P., Collet, J.P., Alborini, A., Cordel, J.C., Filsnoel, J., Gillet, J., Nemoz, C., Bremond, A., Bernhard, G., Bourdin, J.F. *et al.* (1995), "Education program for general practitioners on breast and cervical cancer screening: a randomized trial. PRE.SA.GF Collaborative Group", *Rev. Epidemiol. Santé Publique*, Vol. 43, pp. 541-7.
- Bordley, W.C., Chelminski, A., Margolis, P.A., Kraus, R., Szilagyi, P.G. and Vann, J.J. (2000), "The effect of audit and feedback on immunization delivery: a systematic review", *Am. J. Prev. Med.*, Vol. 18, pp. 343-50.
- Bowman, M.A., Russell, N.K., Boekeloo, B.O., Rafi, I.Z. and Rabin, D.L. (1992), "The effect of educational preparation on physician performance with a sexually transmitted disease-simulated patient", *Archives of Internal Medicine*, Vol. 152, pp. 1823-8.
- Browner, W.S., Baron, R.B., Solkowitz, S., Adler, L.J. and Gullion, D.S. (1994), "Physician management of hypercholesterolemia. A randomized trial of continuing medical education", *Western Journal of Medicine*, Vol. 161, pp. 572-8.
- Burack, R.C. and Gimotty, P.A. (1997), "Promoting screening mammography in inner-city settings: the sustained effectiveness of computerized reminders in a randomized control trial", *Med. Care*, Vol. 35, pp. 921-31.
- Burack, R.C., Gimotty, P.A., George, J., McBride, S., Moncrease, A., Simon, M.S., Dews, P. and Coombs, J. (1998), "How reminders given to patients and physicians affected Pap smear use in a health maintenance organization", *Cancer*, Vol. 82, pp. 2391-400.
- Burack, R.C., Gimotty, P.A., George, J., Simon, M.S., Dews, P. and Moncrease, A. (1996), "The effect of patient and physician reminders on use of screening mammography in a health maintenance organization: results of a randomized controlled trial", *Cancer*, Vol. 78, pp. 1708-21.
- Chaix-Couturier, C., Durand-Zaleski, I., Jolly, D. and Durieux, P. (2000), "Effects of financial incentives on medical practice: results from a systematic review of the literature and methodological issues", *Int. J. Qual. Health Care*, Vol. 12, pp. 133-42.
- Clark, N.M., Gong, M., Schork, M.A., Evans, D., Roloff, D., Hurwitz, M., Maiman, L. and Mellins, R.B. (1998),

- "Impact of education for physicians on patient outcomes", *Pediatrics*, Vol. 101, pp. 831-6.
- Conrad, D.A., Sales, A., Liang, S.Y., Chaudhuri, A., Maynard, C., Pieper, L., Weinstein, L., Gans, D. and Piland, N. (2002), "The impact of financial incentives on physician productivity in medical groups", *Health Serv. Res.*, Vol. 37, pp. 885-906.
- Curran, E., Benneyan, J. and Hood, J. (2002), "Controlling methicillin-resistant *staphylococcus aureus*: a feedback approach using annotated statistical process control charts", *Infect. Control Hosp. Epidemiol.*, Vol. 23, pp. 13-18.
- Davis, D., O'Brien-Thomson, M.A., Freemantle, N., Wolf, F.M., Mazmanian, P. and Taylor-Vaisey, A. (1999), "Impact of formal continuing medical education: do conferences, workshops, rounds, and other traditional continuing medical education activities change physician behavior or health-care outcomes?", *Journal of the American Medical Association*, Vol. 282, pp. 867-74.
- De Santis, G., Harvey, K.J., Howard, D., Mashford, M.L. and Moulds, R.F. (1994), "Improving the quality of antibiotic prescription patterns in general practice. The role of educational intervention", *Medical Journal of Australia*, Vol. 160, pp. 502-5.
- Demakis, J.G., Beauchamp, C., Cull, W.L., Denwood, R., Eisen, S.A., Lofgren, R., Nichol, K., Wooliscroft, J. and Henderson, W.G. (2000), "Improving residents' compliance with standards of ambulatory care", *JAMA*, Vol. 284, pp. 1411-16.
- Dexter, P.R., Perkins, S., Overhage, J.M., Maharry, K., Kohler, R.B. and McDonald, C.J. (2001), "A computerized system to increase the use of preventive care for hospitalized patients", *N. Engl. J. Med.*, Vol. 345, pp. 965-70.
- Dexter, P.R., Wolinsky, F.D., Gramelspacher, G.P., Zhou, X., Eckert, G.J., Waisburd, M. and Tierney, W.M. (1998), "Effectiveness of computer-generated reminders for increasing discussions about advanced directives and completion of advanced directive forms: a randomized, controlled trial", *Ann. of Intern. Med.*, Vol. 128, pp. 102-10.
- Dietrich, A.J., Barrett, J., Levy, D. and Carney-Gersten, P. (1990), "Impact of an educational program on physician cancer control knowledge and activities", *American Journal of Preventive Medicine*, Vol. 6, pp. 346-52.
- Elliot, A.J. and Thrash, T.M. (2002), "Approach-avoidance motivation in personality: approach and avoidance temperaments and goals", *J. Pers. Soc. Psychol.*, Vol. 82, pp. 804-18.
- Evans, C.E., Haynes, R.B., Birkett, N.J., Gilbert, J.R., Taylor, D.W., Sackett, D.L., Johnston M.E. and Hewson S.A. (1986), "Does a mailed continuing education program improve physician performance? Results of a randomized trial in antihypertensive care", *Journal of the American Medical Association*, Vol. 255, pp. 501-4.
- Fairbrother, G., Hanson, K.L., Friedman, S. and Butts, G.C. (1999), "The impact of physician bonuses, enhanced fees, and feedback on childhood immunization coverage rates", *Am. J. Public Health*, Vol. 89, pp. 171-5.
- Falconnier, A.D., Haefeli, W.E., Schoenenberger, R.A., Surber, C. and Martin-Facklam, M. (2001), "Drug dosage in patients with renal failure optimized by immediate concurrent feedback", *J. Gen. Intern. Med.*, Vol. 16, pp. 369-75.
- Fidler, H., Lockyer, J.M., Toews, J. and Violato, C. (1999), "Changing physicians' practices: the effect of individual feedback", *Acad. Med.*, Vol. 74, pp. 702-14.
- Freeborn, D.K., Shye, D., Mullooly, J.P., Eraker, S. and Romeo, J. (1997), "Primary care physicians' use of lumbar spine imaging tests: effects of guidelines and practice pattern feedback", *J. Gen. Intern. Med.*, Vol. 12, pp. 619-25.
- Gifford, D.R., Mittman, B.S., Fink, A., Lanto, A.B., Lee, M.L. and Vickrey, B.G. (1996), "Can a specialty society educate its members to think differently about clinical decisions? Results of a randomized trial", *Journal of General Internal Medicine*, Vol. 11, pp. 664-72.
- Gifford, D.R., Holloway, R.G., Frankel, M.R., Albright, C.L., Meyerson, R., Griggs, R.C. and Vickrey, B.G. (1999), "Improving adherence to dementia guidelines through education and opinion leaders. A randomized, controlled trial", *Annals of Internal Medicine*, Vol. 131, pp. 237-46.
- Glied, S. and Zivin, J.G. (2002), "How do doctors behave when some (but not all) of their patients are in managed care?", *J. Health Econ.*, Vol. 21, pp. 337-53.
- Goldberg, H.I., Deyo, R.A., Taylor, V.M., Cheadle, A.D., Conrad, D.A., Loeser, J.D., Heagerty, P.J. and Diehr, P. (2001), "Can evidence change the rate of back surgery? A randomized trial of community-based education", *Effective Clinical Practice*, Vol. 4, pp. 95-104.
- Greco, P.J. and Eisenberg, J.M. (1993), "Changing physicians' practices", *New England Journal of Medicine*, Vol. 329, pp. 1271-4.
- Gross, P.A., Greenfield, S., Cretin, S., Ferguson, J., Grimshaw, J. & Grol, R. et al. (2001), "Optimal methods for guideline implementation: conclusions from Leeds Castle meeting", *Med. Care*, Vol. 39 No. 52, pp. 85-92.
- Haley, R.W., Culver, D.H., White, J.W., Morgan, W.M., Mori, T.G., Munn, V.P. and Hooton, T.M. (1985), "The efficacy of infection surveillance and control programs in preventing nosocomial infections in US hospitals", *Am. J. Epidemiol.*, Vol. 121, pp. 182-205.
- Haynes, R.B. and Walker, C.J. (1987), "Computer-aided quality assurance: a critical appraisal", *Arch. Intern. Med.*, Vol. 147, pp. 1297-301.
- Heale, J., Davis, D.A., Norman, G.R., Woodward, C., Neufeld, V.R. and Dodd, P. (1988), "A randomized controlled trial assessing the impact of problem-based versus didactic teaching methods in CME", *Proceedings of the Conference on Resident Medical Education of the Association of American Medical Colleges*, Vol. 27, pp. 72-7.
- Hillman, A.L. (1987), "Financial incentives for physicians in HMOs: is there a conflict of interest?", *N. Engl. J. Med.*, Vol. 317, pp. 1743-8.
- Hillman, A.L., Welch, W.P. and Pauly, M.V. (1992), "Contractual arrangements between HMOs and primary care physicians: three-tiered HMOs and risk pools", *Med. Care*, Vol. 30, pp. 136-48.
- Hillman, A.L., Ripley, K., Goldfarb, N., Weiner, J., Nuamah, I. and Lusk, E. (1999), "The use of physician financial incentives and feedback to improve pediatric preventive care in Medicaid-

- managed care", *Pediatrics*, Vol. 104 No. 4, Pt 1, pp. 931-5.
- Hu, P. and Reuben, D.B. (2002), "Effects of managed care on the length of time that elderly patients spend with physicians during ambulatory visits: National Ambulatory Medical Care Survey", *Med. Care*, Vol. 40, pp. 606-13.
- Hunnskaar, S., Hannestad, Y.S., Backe, B. and Matheson, I. (1996), "Direct mailing of consensus recommendations did not alter GPs' knowledge and prescription of oestrogen in the menopause", *Scandinavian Journal of Primary Health Care*, Vol. 14, pp. 203-8.
- Hunt, D.L., Haynes, R.B., Hanna, S.E. and Smith, K. (1998), "Effects of computer-based clinical decision support systems on physician performance and patient outcomes: a systematic review", *JAMA*, Vol. 280, pp. 1339-46.
- Hux, J.E., Melady, M.P. and DeBoer, D. (1999), "Confidential prescriber feedback and education to improve antibiotic use in primary care: a controlled trial", *CMAJ*, Vol. 161, pp. 388-92.
- Johnston, M.E., Langton, K.B., Haynes, R.B. and Mathieu, A. (1994), "Effects of computer-based clinical decision support systems on clinician performance and patient outcome: a critical appraisal of research", *Ann. Intern. Med.*, Vol. 120, pp. 135-42.
- Kane, R. and Garrard, J. (1994), "Changing physician prescribing practices: regulation vs. education", *JAMA*, Vol. 271, pp. 393-4.
- Kao, A.C., Green, D.C., Zaslavsky, A.M., Koplan, J.P. and Cleary, P.D. (1998), "The relationship between method of physician payment and patient trust", *JAMA*, Vol. 280, pp. 1708-14.
- Kendrick, T., Burns, T. and Freeling, P. (1995), "Randomised controlled trial of teaching general practitioners to carry out structured assessments of their long-term mentally ill patients", *British Medical Journal*, Vol. 311, pp. 93-8.
- Kiefe, C.I., Allison, J.J., Williams, O.D., Person, S.D., Weaver, M.T. and Weissman, N.W. (2001), "Improving quality improvement using achievable benchmarks for physician feedback: a randomized controlled trial", *JAMA*, Vol. 285, pp. 2871-9.
- King, M., Davidson, O., Taylor, F., Haines, A., Sharp, D. and Turner, R. (2002), "Effectiveness of teaching general practitioners skills in brief cognitive behaviour therapy to treat patients with depression: randomised controlled trial", *British Medical Journal*, Vol. 324, pp. 947-50.
- Kupets, R. and Covens, A. (2001), "Strategies for implementation of cervical and breast cancer screening for women by primary care physicians", *Gynecological Oncology*, Vol. 83, pp. 186-97.
- Landon, B., Wilson, I. and Cleary, P. (1998), "A conceptual model of the effects of health-care organizations on the quality of medical care", *JAMA*, Vol. 279, pp. 1377-82.
- Levinson, W. and Roter, D. (1993), "The effects of two continuing medical education programs on communication skills of practicing primary care physicians", *Journal of General Internal Medicine*, Vol. 8, pp. 318-24.
- Lin, E.H., Simon, G.E., Katzelnick, D.J. and Pearson, S.D. (2001), "Does physician education on depression management improve treatment in primary care?", *Journal of General Internal Medicine*, Vol. 16, pp. 614-19.
- Maiman, L.A., Becker, M.H., Liptak, G.S., Nazarian, I.F. and Rounds, K.A. (1988), "Improving pediatricians' compliance-enhancing practices. A randomized trial", *American Journal of Diseases in Children*, Vol. 142, pp. 773-9.
- Morris, A.H. (2000), "Developing and implementing computerized protocols for standardization of clinical decisions", *Ann. Intern. Med.*, Vol. 132, pp. 373-83.
- Mugford, M., Banfield, P. and O'Hanlon, M. (1991), "Effects of feedback of information on clinical practice: a review", *BMJ*, Vol. 303, pp. 398-402.
- Nettleman, M.D., Trilla, A., Fredrickson, M. and Pfaller, M. (1991), "Assigning responsibility: using feedback to achieve sustained control of methicillin-resistant *staphylococcus aureus*", *Am. J. Med.*, Vol. 91 No. 3B, pp. 228S-32S.
- Ockene, J.K., Ockene, I.S., Quirk, M.E., Hebert, J.R., Saperia, G.M., Luippold, R.S., Merriam, P.A. and Ellis, S. (1995), "Physician training for patient-centered nutrition counseling in a lipid intervention trial", *Preventive Medicine*, Vol. 24, pp. 563-70.
- Overhage, J.M., Tierney, W.M. and McDonald, C.J. (1996), "Computer reminders to implement preventive care guidelines for hospitalized patients", *Arch. Intern. Med.*, Vol. 156, pp. 1551-6.
- Pestonik, S.L., Classen, D.C., Evans, R.S. and Burke, J.P. (1996), "Implementing antibiotic practice guidelines through computer-assisted decision support: clinical and financial outcomes", *Ann. Intern. Med.*, Vol. 124, pp. 884-90.
- Prochaska, J.O. and DiClemente, C.C. (1982), "Transtheoretical therapy toward a more integrative model of change", *Psychotherapy: Theory, Research and Practice*, Vol. 19, pp. 276-87.
- Retchin, S.M. (1997), "The modification of physician practice patterns", *Clin. Perform. Qual. Health Care*, Vol. 5, pp. 202-7.
- Riley, G.F., Potosky, A.L., Klabunde, C.N., Warren, J.L. and Ballard-Barbash, R. (1999), "Stage at diagnosis and treatment patterns among older women with breast cancer: an HMO and fee-for-service comparison", *JAMA*, Vol. 281, pp. 720-6.
- Rilling, J., Gutman, D., Zeh, T., Pagnoni, G., Berns, G. and Kilts, C. (2002), "A neural basis for social cooperation", *Neuron*, Vol. 35, pp. 395-405.
- Rolnick, S.J., Margolis, K.L., Fortman, K.K., Maciosek, M.V. and Grimm, R.H. Jr (2002), "How acceptable are financial incentives and written feedback for improving hypertension control? Perspectives from physicians, clinic administrators, and patients", *Am. J. Manag. Care*, Vol. 8, pp. 441-7.
- Ryan, R.M. and Deci, E.L. (2000), "Self-determination theory and the facilitation of intrinsic motivation, social development, and wellbeing", *Am. Psychol.*, Vol. 55, pp. 68-78.
- Saez-Illoren, X., De Wong, M.M.C., Castano, E., De Suman, O., De Moros, D. and De Atenico, I. (2000), "Impact of an antibiotic restriction policy on hospital expenditures and bacterial susceptibilities: a lesson from a pediatric institution in a developing country", *Pediatr. Infect. Dis. J.*, Vol. 19, pp. 200-6.

- Sanci, L.A., Coffey, C.M., Veit, F.C., Carr-Gregg, M., Patton, G.C., Day, N. and Bowes, G. (2000), "Evaluation of the effectiveness of an educational intervention for general practitioners in adolescent health care: randomised controlled trial", *British Medical Journal*, Vol. 320, pp. 224-30.
- Shea, S., DuMouchel, W. and Bahamonde, L. (1996), "A meta-analysis of 16 randomized controlled trials to evaluate computer-based clinical reminder systems for preventive care in the ambulatory setting", *J. Am. Med. Inform. Assoc.*, Vol. 3, pp. 399-409.
- Shorr, R.I., Fought, R.L. and Ray, W.A. (1994), "Changes in antipsychotic drug use in nursing homes during implementation of the OBRA-87 regulations", *JAMA*, Vol. 271, pp. 358-62.
- Smith, W.R. (2000), "Evidence for the effectiveness of techniques to change physician behavior", *Chest*, Vol. 118, pp. 8S-17S.
- SoRelle, R. (1998), "Tracking a tangled PATH. Physicians At Teaching Hospitals", *Circulation*, Vol. 97, p. 2191.
- Soumerai, S.B. and Avorn, J. (1987), "Predictors of physician prescribing change in an educational experiment to improve medication use", *Medical Care*, Vol. 25, pp. 210-21.
- Stein, C.M., Griffin, M.R., Taylor, J.A., Pichert, J.W., Brandt, K.D. and Ray, W.A. (2001), "Educational program for nursing home physicians and staff to reduce use of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs among nursing home residents: a randomized controlled trial", *Medical Care*, Vol. 39, pp. 436-45.
- Thompson, C., Kinmonth, A.L., Stevens, L., Peveler, R.C., Stevens, A., Ostler, K.J., Pickering, R.M., Baker, N.G., Henson, A., Preece, J., Cooper, D. and Campbell, M.J. (2000), "Effects of a clinical-practice guideline and practice-based education on detection and outcome of depression in primary care: Hampshire Depression Project randomised controlled trial", *Lancet*, Vol. 355, pp. 185-91.
- Thomson O'Brien, M.A., Oxman, A.D., Davis, D.A., Haynes, R.B., Freemantle, N. and Harvey, E.L. (2000), "Audit and feedback: effects on professional practice and health-care outcomes", *Cochrane Database Syst. Rev.*, Vol. 2, p. CD000259.
- Trafimow, D., Brown, J., Grace, K., Thompson, L.A. and Sheeran, P. (2002), "The relative influence of attitudes and subjective norms from childhood to adolescence: between-participant and within-participant analyses", *Am. J. Psychol.*, Vol. 115, pp. 395-414.
- van Wijk, M.A.M., van der Lei, J., Mosseveld, M., Bohnen, A.M. and van Bommel, J.H. (2001), "Assessment of decision support for blood test ordering in primary care: a randomized trial", *Ann. Intern. Med.*, Vol. 134, pp. 274-81.
- Vingerhoets, E., Wensing, M. and Grol, M. (2001), "Feedback of patients' evaluations of general practice care: a randomised trial", *Quality in Health Care*, Vol. 10, pp. 224-8.
- Ware, J.E. Jr, Bayliss, M.S., Rogers, W.H., Kosinski, M. and Tarlov, A.R. (1996), "Differences in four-year health outcomes for elderly and poor, chronically ill patients treated in HMO and fee-for-service systems. Results from the Medical Outcomes Study", *JAMA*, Vol. 276, pp. 1039-47.
- Watson, E., Clements, A., Yudkin, P., Rose, P., Bukach, C., Mackay, J., Lucassen, A. and Austoker, J. (2001), "Evaluation of the impact of two educational interventions on GP management of familial breast/ovarian cancer cases: a cluster randomised controlled trial", *British Journal of General Practice*, Vol. 51, pp. 817-21.
- Watson, M., Gunnell, D., Peters, T., Brookes, S. and Sharp, D. (2001), "Guidelines and educational outreach visits from community pharmacists to improve prescribing in general practice: a randomised controlled trial", *Journal of Health Services Research and Policy*, Vol. 6, pp. 207-13.
- Weingarten, S.R., Riedinger, M.S., Conner, L., Lee, T.H., Hoffman, I., Johnson, B. and Ellrodt, A.G. (1994), "Practice guidelines and reminders to reduce duration of hospital stay for patients with chest pain: an intervention trial", *Ann. Intern. Med.*, Vol. 120, pp. 257-63.

Further reading

- Cabana, M.D., Rand, C.S., Powe, N.R., Wu, A.W., Wilson, M.H., Abboud, P.C. and Rubin, H.R. (1999), "Why don't physicians follow clinical practice guidelines? A framework for improvement", *Journal of the American Medical Association*, Vol. 282, pp. 1458-65.
- Kim, C.S., Kristopaitis, R.J., Stone, E., Pelter, M., Sandhu, M. and Weingarten, S.R. (1999), "Physician education and report cards: do they make the grade? Results from a randomized controlled trial", *American Journal of Medicine*, Vol. 107, pp. 556-60.
- Oxman, D., Thomson, M., Davis, D. and Haynes, R. (1995), "No magic bullets: a systematic review of the 102 trials and interventions to improve professional practice", *Canadian Medical Association Journal*, Vol. 153, pp. 1423-31.